

Northern New England Society for Healthcare Risk Management



Silent Threats, Lasting Consequences

Building Defenses Against Sexual Abuse and Molestation in Healthcare



Presenter



Amie Costello, MBA, BSN, RN, CPHQ, CPHRM, CPPS, HACP, FASHRM
Procure Risk Management Consulting



Please Note

Materials were created for NNEHRM's Conference.

Republication or reuse of the materials without the permission of the primary speaker is prohibited.

Thank you.

Content organization supported by Claude AI (Anthropic). All clinical guidance reflects current healthcare risk management standards and presenter expertise.



Quick Assessment - Before We Begin

- ▶ Do you have a written opt-out chaperone policy?
- ▶ Can all your clinical staff define when chaperones are required?
- ▶ Do you document when patients decline chaperones?





Objectives of Today's Session

We Will Explore:

- **Evolving Insurance Landscape:** Trends in Sexual Abuse & Molestation (SAM) coverage and exclusions.
- **Legal & Regulatory Shifts:** How recent changes increase organizational liability.
- **Real-World Impact:** High-stakes consequences for healthcare institutions.
- **Prevention & Response Strategies:** Strengthening programs, reporting, and risk transfer for a highly protective SAM program.



SECTION 1: Understanding the Landscape



Why This Matters NOW:

The Perfect Storm

Legal Reforms - Statutes of limitations eliminated for abuse claims

→ Cases from decades ago can be filed today

Societal Awareness - #MeToo movement increasing victim willingness to report

→ Victims who stayed silent for decades are coming forward
Insurance Crisis - Carriers adding SAM exclusions or dropping coverage entirely

→ Prevention programs now required for insurability

Settlement Amounts - Reaching unprecedented levels (\$1B+ in single cases)

→ Both patient harm and institutional liability at stake



Statute of Limitations (SOL) -New England States

| State | Adult Civil SOL | Minor Civil SOL | Statute | When Changed |
|---------------|-----------------|---|----------------------------|---|
| New Hampshire | No limit | No limit | RSA 508:4-g | Sept 2020 - HB 705 eliminated all civil SOL |
| Vermont | 3 years | No limit | 12 V.S.A. § 522 | 2019 - eliminated for minors |
| Maine | 6 years | No limit if victim under 16 | 17-A M.R.S. § 253 | 1991, 1999 - eliminated for minors under 16 |
| Massachusetts | 3 years | Age 53 (35 years from incident) or 7 years from discovery | M.G.L. c. 260, § 4C | Extended 2014 |
| Rhode Island | 7 years | Age 53 (35 years from incident) or 7 years from discovery | R.I. Gen. Laws § 9-1-51 | 2019 - extended to age 53 |
| Connecticut | 3 years | Age 51 (33 years from age 18) | Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52-577d | Extended over time; 2022 eliminated SOL for convicted abusers |

SECTION 2: Learn From Catastrophic Failures



Catastrophic Failures: When Prevention Systems Don't Exist or Aren't Followed

| Institution | Patients Harmed | Duration | Prevention Failures |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|---|
| Columbia University Medical Center | 800+ victims | 20 years | Complaints dismissed, no investigation, no action |
| Cedars-Sinai Medical Center | 176+ victims | 43 years | "Just his personality" - no boundary enforcement |
| Brigham & Women's Hospital | 200+ victims | 13 years | Patient complaints ignored, no supervision |

Five System Failures That Enable Abuse:

- Complaints dismissed
- Power differential protected abusers
- No documentation
- Delayed or no action
- Siloed information



Pattern Recognition

The Same Story, Every Time

- ▶ What Juries See:
 - ▶ Multiple complaints → No action
 - ▶ "That's just how he is" → No accountability
 - ▶ High revenue provider → Special treatment
 - ▶ Staff concerns → Dismissed
 - ▶ Documentation → Missing

The Question They Ask: "Did you do ANYTHING to stop this?"



What Juries Want to Know

- ▶ Did you have policies **DESIGNED** to prevent harm?
- ▶ Did you **FOLLOW** them to protect patients?
- ▶ Did you **ACT** on red flags to stop ongoing harm?
- ▶ Could patient harm have been **PREVENTED** with better systems?
- ▶ The Question Behind the Questions:

Did you do everything reasonable to protect vulnerable patients?



Case Scenario - You Decide

Scenario:

- ▶ Dr. Smith, orthopedic surgeon, 15 years, generates \$3M+ annually. Anonymous complaint: 'makes patients uncomfortable.' Vague, no specifics. Colleague says, 'He's just friendly, great revenue generator.'

Questions:

- Do you investigate?
- What's your next step?
- What systems are in place?



SECTION 3: Insurance Requirements & Risk Transfer



What Insurers Want to Know

▶ Requirements for Coverage:

- ▶ Written SAM prevention program
- ▶ Mandatory chaperone policies
- ▶ Boundaries training and policies
- ▶ Background checks including Sexual Offender Registry (all 50 states)
- ▶ Annual staff training on red flags
- ▶ Incident reporting protocol
- ▶ Documentation audits

▶ Without These Programs:

- ▶ High-risk specialties: 30-200% premium increases
- ▶ Organizations with incidents: May become uninsurable
- ▶ Some carriers: Excluding SAM coverage entirely



Available Resources

Your Carrier/Broker/Agent Wants to Help

- ▶ Many offer:
 - ▶ Risk assessment tools
 - ▶ Template policies
 - ▶ Training materials
 - ▶ Loss control consultants
 - ▶ Risk management funds for risk assessments or program development



SECTION 4: Build Your Prevention Program



Creating Culture of Accountability - Culture Eats Policy for Breakfast

▶ Cultural Elements:

- ▶ Leadership commitment visible
- ▶ No tolerance for boundary violations
- ▶ Psychological safety to report concerns
- ▶ Swift response to allegations
- ▶ Transparency about prevention efforts
- ▶ Regular training and communication
- ▶ Recognition for upholding standards

▶ Warning Signs of Toxic Culture:

- ▶ "That's just how he is"
- ▶ Fear of reporting concerns
- ▶ Protecting high-revenue providers
- ▶ Dismissing patient complaints
- ▶ No consequences for policy violations



Essential for SAM Prevention

Must-Have SAM Protection Elements:

- Chaperone Policy (opt-out with documentation,, patient education, staff training)
- Red Flag & Bystander Training (recognition and intervention)
- Reporting & Investigation Protocol (clear pathways, retaliation protection)
- Background Screening (pre-employment and ongoing)
- Audit & Monitoring (compliance verification)

Each Policy Should Include:

- Clear definitions
- Specific requirements
- Roles and responsibilities
- Reporting mechanisms
- Consequences for non-compliance



Background Checks – Beyond the Basics

▶ Beyond State Requirements:

- ▶ National criminal background check
- ▶ Sex offender registry (SOR) (all 50 states)
- ▶ Office of Inspector General (OIG) / List of Excluded Individuals and Entities (LEIE) exclusion lists
- ▶ Previous employer reference checks
- ▶ Professional licensing verification
- ▶ Social media screening (where legal)

▶ High-Risk Specialties Need Enhanced Scrutiny:

- ▶ OB/GYN
- ▶ Pediatrics
- ▶ Sports Medicine
- ▶ Physical Therapy
- ▶ Behavioral Health

Re-screening: Every 2-3 years, especially for high-risk roles



The Foundation - Chaperone Policies

- ▶ Opt-OUT vs. Opt-IN:
 - ✗ Opt-IN: "Do you want a chaperone?" (Puts burden on patient)
 - ✓ Opt-OUT: "A chaperone will be present unless you prefer privacy" (Default protection)
- ▶ Why Opt-OUT is Standard of Care:
 - ▶ Power differential between provider and patient
 - ▶ Patients may not know they can request one
 - ▶ Creates default culture of transparency
 - ▶ Protects both patient AND provider



Defining Sensitive Exams: When Are Chaperones Required?

▶ Always Require Chaperones:

- ▶ Breast exams
- ▶ Pelvic/genital exams
- ▶ Rectal exams
- ▶ Any exam requiring disrobing below the waist
- ▶ Any exam of areas typically covered by clothing

▶ Consider Requiring:

- ▶ First visits with new patients
- ▶ Patients with history of trauma
- ▶ Adolescent exams
- ▶ Sports physicals
- ▶ Any patient request

Key Principle: When in doubt, err on the side of having a chaperone



Who Can Be a Chaperone?

▶ Required Qualifications:

- ▶ Trained staff member (not family/volunteers)
- ▶ Completed SAM awareness training
- ▶ Knows how to intervene appropriately
- ▶ Can document and report concerns
- ▶ Empowered to stop exams if needed
- ▶ Background checked and screened

▶ NOT Acceptable:

- ✗ Medical students (they're learners, not protectors)
- ✗ Family members (conflicts of interest)
- ✗ Untrained volunteers
- ✗ Anyone subordinate to the provider being chaperoned



What Chaperones Must Know

Core Training Elements:

- Role and responsibilities - "I'm here to protect the patient AND provider"
- Red flag behaviors - What's concerning vs. normal practice
- How to intervene - "I need to speak with you outside the room"
- Documentation requirements - What, when, where to document
- Reporting protocol - Who to tell, when, how
- Patient communication - "I'm here for your comfort and safety"

Training Frequency:

- Annual refresher minimum
- Document completion for all chaperones



Documentation – If It's Not Documented, It Didn't Happen

▶ What to Document:

- ▶ Chaperone present (name and role)
- ▶ Patient declined chaperone (reason if given)
- ▶ Any concerns or red flags observed
- ▶ Patient questions or concerns
- ▶ Provider responses to concerns
- ▶ Any deviations from standard protocol

▶ Where to Document:

- ▶ Medical record (appropriate sections)
- ▶ Separate incident reporting system
- ▶ Chaperone log (some organizations maintain these)

Documentation is your legal defense. Period.



Multiple Reporting Pathways - Remove Barriers to Reporting

▶ Why Power Differential Matters:

- ▶ Patients fear retaliation
- ▶ Staff fear career consequences
- ▶ Medical hierarchy silences concerns
- ▶ Cultural barriers exist

▶ Multiple Reporting Options:

- ▶ Direct to risk management
- ▶ Anonymous hotline
- ▶ Patient relations
- ▶ Compliance department
- ▶ External reporting (state agencies)
- ▶ Online reporting portal

Goal: Make reporting easier than staying silent



Investigation Protocol – When You Receive an Allegation

▶ Immediate Steps (24-48 hours):

- ▶ Document the allegation
- ▶ Notify risk management and legal
- ▶ Consider administrative leave
- ▶ Preserve evidence
- ▶ Assign independent investigator
- ▶ Protect reporter from retaliation

▶ Investigation Team:

- ▶ Independent investigator (not direct supervisor)
- ▶ HR representative
- ▶ Risk management
- ▶ Legal counsel (as appropriate)

Key: Thorough, fair, documented



Special Protections

Vulnerable Populations

▶ Pediatric-Specific Requirements:

- ▶ Parents cannot serve as chaperones
- ▶ Patients cannot opt out (parents may, but document carefully)
- ▶ Additional supervision for providers seeing pediatric patients
- ▶ Age-appropriate patient education
- ▶ Enhanced screening for providers in pediatric specialties

▶ Other Vulnerable Populations:

- ▶ Cognitively impaired patients
- ▶ Non-English-speaking patients
- ▶ Patients with trauma history
- ▶ Unconscious/sedated patients

Vulnerability requires additional protection



Patient Education – Empowerment is Prevention

▶ What Patients Should Know:

- ▶ What to expect during sensitive exams
- ▶ Their right to a chaperone
- ▶ Their right to stop an exam
- ▶ How to report concerns
- ▶ What questions to ask

▶ Education Methods:

- ▶ Pre-visit materials
- ▶ Exam room posters
- ▶ Website resources
- ▶ Patient portal messages
- ▶ Verbal explanation by chaperone

Informed patients are empowered patients



Red Flag Behaviors



Purpose: Identify concerning patterns *BEFORE* patients are harmed

▶ Individual Provider Warning Signs:

- ▶ Insisting on privacy/dismissing chaperones
- ▶ Extending appointments unnecessarily
- ▶ Commenting on patient appearance/body
- ▶ Excessive "friendly" behavior
- ▶ Requesting specific patients
- ▶ Off-hours appointments with vulnerable patients
- ▶ Resistance to supervision

▶ Response Protocol:

- ▶ Document immediately
- ▶ Report to designated SAM committee
- ▶ Confidential investigation
- ▶ No retaliation for reporting

Key Principle: Staff must be empowered to report concerns without fear of retaliation.



Operationalizing Red Flags

How to Turn Warning Signs Into Action

Staff Training Requirements:

- Annual red flag recognition training for all clinical staff
- Role-playing scenarios for intervention practice
- Clear definitions vs. normal clinical behavior

Action Protocols:

- Document observation immediately (date, time, specific behavior)
- Report within 24 hours to SAM prevention committee
- No confrontation of provider by reporting staff
- Interim safety measures while investigating

Early Intervention Examples:

- Provider repeatedly dismisses chaperones → Mandatory chaperone order
- Patient discomfort reports → Supervised observations
- Boundary concerns → Immediate HR review



Audit Your Prevention Program

▶ What to Audit:

- ▶ Chaperone documentation compliance
- ▶ Background check completeness
- ▶ Training completion rates
- ▶ Incident reporting and response times
- ▶ Policy awareness among staff
- ▶ Patient education material distribution
- ▶ High-risk provider monitoring

▶ Audit Frequency:

- ▶ Annual minimum, quarterly for high-risk areas

▶ Use Findings to Improve:

- ▶ Audit results should drive system improvements

You can't manage what you don't measure



SECTION 5: Implementation Roadmap



Building Your SAM Prevention Program: A Roadmap

Phase 1- Develop Your Team:

- Risk manager
- Patient safety officer
- Quality/PI specialist
- Compliance officer
- Education specialist
- Clinical representation

Phase 3 - Train & Educate:

- Onboarding and ongoing training
- Active bystander training
- Patient advocates
- Provider boundary training

Phase 2 - Planning Your Mission

- Establish organizational values
- Create reporting strategy
- Develop deployment timeline
- Commit to safety culture

Phase 4 - Identify & Respond:

- Red flag recognition
- Vulnerable scenarios
- Investigation protocols
- Message management



Resources and Support - You Don't Have to Do This Alone

Internal Resources:

- Risk management department
- Compliance department
- Human resources
- Medical staff office
- IT (for reporting systems)
- Communications (patient education)

External Resources:

- Insurance carriers (loss prevention services)
- Healthcare risk management associations
- Legal counsel specializing in healthcare
- Third-party SAM risk assessment firms
- State hospital associations
- Professional medical societies



SECTION 6: Take Action Now



Next Steps: Your Action Plan

Phase 1 - Develop Your Team

1. Request meeting with executive leadership
2. Identify core SAM prevention committee members
3. Assign roles: risk manager, patient safety, quality, compliance, HR, clinical lead
4. Schedule first committee meeting

Phase 2 - Plan Your Mission :

1. Conduct staff knowledge assessment
2. Review existing policies and identify gaps
3. Connect with insurance carrier about coverage/requirements
4. Initiate SAM risk assessment
5. Develop deployment timeline

Phase 3 - Train & Educate:

1. Begin policy revision (chaperone, reporting, background checks)
2. Research background check enhancements
3. Develop training programs for staff and chaperones
4. Create patient education materials

Phase 4 - Identify & Respond:

1. Launch new policies organization-wide
2. Begin compliance auditing
3. Establish red flag monitoring system
4. Report progress to leadership



Key Takeaways:

Eight Essentials for Managing SAM Risk

The Problem:

1. Legal landscape has changed - Three New England states eliminated civil statutes of limitations for childhood sexual abuse
2. Insurance carriers are responding - Prevention programs are now requirements for coverage, not optional
3. Learn from catastrophic failures - Every billion-dollar case shows the same system failures
4. This is existential risk management - One incident can cost \$3-8M+; prevention is the cheapest insurance

The Solution:

5. Opt-out chaperone policies are standard of care - Opt-in policies don't adequately protect given power dynamics
6. Documentation is your legal defense - If it's not documented, you can't prove it happened
7. Enhanced background screening is essential - State checks aren't enough; national + sex offender registry checks in all 50 states required
8. Create multiple reporting pathways - Power differentials prevent reporting; remove barriers



Assess Your Program – Key Elements Discussed Today

Prevention Infrastructure

- We have a written opt-out chaperone policy
- All staff know when chaperones are required
- Chaperones receive SAM-specific training

Screening & Monitoring

- We conduct national + SOR background checks (all 50 states)
- We conduct annual compliance audits

Reporting & Investigation

- We have multiple confidential reporting pathways
- We have clear investigation protocols
- We protect reporters from retaliation

Culture & Leadership

- We educate patients about their rights
- Leadership actively supports SAM prevention



Questions & Discussion



Contact information:

Amie Costello, MBA, BSN, RN, CPHQ, CPHRM, CPPS, HACP, FASHRM
Independent Risk Consultant
Procure Risk Management Consulting
Cell: (207) 680-5699
amie.costello@procarermc.com



Key Resources & Toolkits

Comprehensive SAM Prevention Resources:

- ▶ Sexual Abuse and Misconduct Toolkit - BETA Healthcare Group: <https://betahg.com/risk-management-and-safety/sexual-abuse-and-misconduct-toolkit/>
- ▶ Praesidium Insurance Carrier Benchmarking Report (2025): Sexual Abuse and Molestation Liability: <https://bit.ly/SMLBenchmarking24>

Implementation Resources:

- ▶ Gallagher - Role of Chaperones in Sensitive Healthcare Exams (PDF): <https://www.ajg.com/-/media/files/gallagher/us/news-and-insights/2024/the-role-of-chaperones-in-sensitive-healthcare-exams.pdf>
- ▶ OHSU - Chaperone Education Course Slides (PDF): <https://www.oregon.gov/omb/Topics-of-Interest/Documents/OHSU%20Chaperone%20Education%20Course%20Slides.pdf>
- ▶ OmniSure - Crucial Steps for SAM Safety in Healthcare: <https://www.omnisure.com/crucial-steps-to-take-for-sexual-abuse-and-molestation-safety-in-healthcare/>



Chaperone Policy Examples & Guidelines

Professional Association Guidelines:

- ▶ AAP News - Policy: Standardize Use of Chaperones: <https://publications.aap.org/aapnews/news/32184/AAP-policy-Standardize-use-of-chaperones-for?autologincheck=redirected>
- ▶ AMA Code of Medical Ethics - Use of Chaperones: <https://code-medical-ethics.ama-assn.org/ethics-opinions/use-chaperones>
- ▶ AWHONN Position Statement - Use of Chaperones during Sensitive Examinations: [https://www.jognn.org/article/S0884-2175\(21\)00310-5/pdf](https://www.jognn.org/article/S0884-2175(21)00310-5/pdf)
- ▶ JOGNN - Chaperone Policy Article: [https://www.jognn.org/article/S0884-2175\(21\)00310-5/fulltext](https://www.jognn.org/article/S0884-2175(21)00310-5/fulltext)

Institutional Policy Examples:

- ▶ Notre Dame - Patient Guide to Sensitive Exams (PDF): https://uhs.nd.edu/assets/305767/university_health_services_patient_guide_to_sensitive_exams_8.5x11.pdf
- ▶ University of Michigan - Chaperones During Sensitive Examinations: <https://www.uofmhealth.org/patients-visitors/patients/patient-rights/chaperones-during-sensitive-examinations>
- ▶ Vassar College - Sensitive Exam Policy (PDF): <https://offices.vassar.edu/health-service/wp-content/uploads/sites/44/2024/09/Sensitive-Exam-Policy-final-copy-2024.pdf>
- ▶ Yale Health - Medical Chaperones for Sensitive Examinations: <https://yalehealth.yale.edu/topic/medical-chaperones-sensitive-examinations-treatments-and-procedures>



Professional Boundaries & Ethics

AMA Code of Medical Ethics:

- ▶ Sexual Harassment in the Practice of Medicine: <https://code-medical-ethics.ama-assn.org/ethics-opinions/sexual-harassment-practice-medicine>
- ▶ Romantic or Sexual Relationships with Patients: <https://code-medical-ethics.ama-assn.org/ethics-opinions/romantic-or-sexual-relationships-patients>

Institutional Obligations:

- ▶ Title IX Obligations for Hospitals and Academic Medical Centers: <https://journalofethics.ama-assn.org/article/what-if-resident-or-medical-student-raped-hospitals-and-academic-medical-centers-title-ix/2018-01>

Industry Analysis:

- ▶ Munich Re - Sexual Abuse in Healthcare: Business Risk Perspective: <https://www.munichre.com/en/insights/business-risks/sexual-abuse-in-healthcare.html>



Notable Cases Referenced:

- ▶ **Columbia University/Dr. Robert Hadden Settlement, 2024 \$750M+ settlement for 800+ survivors:**
https://www.americanbar.org/groups/health_law/news/2025/5/750m-settlement-survivors-former-ob-gyns-sexual-abuse/
- ▶ **Cedars-Sinai Medical Center/Dr. James Brock Litigation, 2020-2024 176+ victims over 43 years:** <https://www.lawsuit-information-center.com/dr-barry-brock-sex-abuse-lawsuit.html>
- ▶ **Brigham & Women's Hospital/Dr. Richard Todd Prosecution, 2019-2023 200+ victims over 13 years:** <https://www.lawsuit-information-center.com/dr-derrick-todd-sex-abuse-lawsuits.html>
- ▶ **Memorial Hermann Healthcare System/David Shrader Settlement, 2023 Hidden restroom cameras:**
<https://www.beckershospitalreview.com/legal-regulatory-issues/memorial-hermann-former-manager-sued-over-hidden-restroom-cameras/>
- ▶ **SSM Health/Dr. Anthony Spiegel Federal Investigation, Ongoing Multiple patient abuse allegations:**
<https://www.beckershospitalreview.com/legal-regulatory-issues/ssm-hospital-physician-sued-for-alleged-patient-abuse/>



Reporting Requirements & Statutes

Federal Reporting Requirements:

- ▶ CMS - Quality, Safety & Oversight Manual: Mandatory Reporting of Abuse and Neglect (Chapter 24)

New England State Statutes (Civil Sexual Abuse Claims)

- ▶ Connecticut Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52-577d and § 52-577e
- ▶ Maine 17-A M.R.S. § 253 - Gross Sexual Assault
- ▶ Massachusetts M.G.L. c. 260, § 4C - Civil Statute of Limitations
- ▶ New Hampshire RSA 508:4-g - Civil Actions Based on Sexual Assault
- ▶ Rhode Island R.I. Gen. Laws § 9-1-51 - Actions Based on Sexual Abuse of a Child
- ▶ Vermont 12 V.S.A. § 522 - Actions for Childhood Sexual or Physical Abuse H.330 (2019)

